DEI PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

(S) (P) (F) (A)

Access + Amenities (A) Assessment within Equity Zones

Map 21 shows Access + Amenities (A) Assessment average scores. The larger circle symbology is intended to highlight where parks were evaluated to have poor access and few or poor amenities.

Key Takeaways:

- All evaluated recreation centers scored Very High.
- There were no parks that scored Very High.
- The majority of parks scored Medium or High.
- The majority of Low or Very Low performing parks are in the northern part of the city.
- Ragsdale-MLK Park and Birchett Park were the only park that scored Very Low.
- Neighborhood and Urban Parks scored the lowest for Access + Amenities.
- In response to the question, “What features of this space make you feel like your needs are met or that make you feel comfortable?,” assessors indicated:
  - Seating and tables - 75%
  - Drinking fountain- 66%
  - Trash and dog waste receptacles -65%
  - Shade structures and shade from trees- 64%
  - Parking and paths (ADA accessible)- 50%
  - Public restrooms (that are free, good condition, and cater to many people’s needs) - 28%
  - Bike racks - 28%
  - 24/7 access to a public space and recreation opportunities - 16%
  - Other -11%
  - Public wi-fi - 7%
  - Concessions for food, beverage and other commodities - 5%
  - None of the above - 3%

Equity Zones Composite

- Not an Equity Priority Area
- Medium Equity Priority
- High Equity Priority
- Very High Equity Priority

Parks DEI Assessment

Access + Amenities (A)

- ≤ 4 Very Low Performance
- 4 - 5 Low
- 5 - 7 Medium
- 7 - 9 High
- ≥ 9 Very High Performance

- Parks Not Evaluated

Legend

- HOA/Private Parks
- Other County Parks and Open Space
- Valley Metro Light Rail Stations
- Valley Metro Rail Line
- City of Tempe
- Arizona State University

Source: Design Workshop Analysis, City of Tempe GIS Data, Tempe.Gov

- In response to the question, “Are signage and wayfinding being located at decision points such as the intersection of two major paths or near public facilities?” 47% of assessors indicated yes, 35% indicated ‘opportunities for improvement, and 18% indicated ‘no.’

Map 21 ACCESS + AMENITIES (A)